Nutzungshinweis

Dieses Material ist freigegeben:

Image: statezur Präsentation durch Novartis vor
Fachkreisangehörigen/ Partner im
Gesundheitswesen1

und zwar

ohne Geheimhaltungsvereinbarung

und wurde

lokal erstellt/abgeändert

zur Abgabe an Fachkreisangehörige/ Partner im Gesundheitswesen durch²: Mitarbeiter aus der Medizin

mit Geheimhaltungsvereinbarung

- global erstellt, ohne inhaltliche Änderungen. Durch Projektleiter vor Nutzung auf Übereinstimmung mit lokalen Vorgaben geprüft
- Dieses Material ist nicht für werbliche Zwecke bestimmt.
- Novartis übernimmt keine Verantwortung für Änderungen des Inhalts durch Externe
- Dieses Material entspricht den Prinzipien und Standards der globalen Novartis P3-Richtlinie
- Stand 12/2020

1 Fachtreisangehörige sind Ärzte, Zahnärzte, Tierätzte, Apotheker und Personen, die mit diesen Arzneimitteln erlaubterweise Handel treiben (HWG). Partner im Gesundheitswesen sind die im Gesundheitswesen oder in der Gesundheitspolitik tätigen Ministerien, Behörden und anderen öffentlich-rechtlichen Institutionen sowie die Einrichtungen der gemeinsamen Selbstverwaltung im Gesundheitswesen. Hierzu zählen auch die europäischen Behörden (z.B. die EU-Kommission und die EMA) (FSA Kodex).

2 Medizin, Market Access in einer nicht-kommerziellen Funktion, HEOR, GDD- entsprechende Funktion eintragen

Nutzungshinweis unsolicited request

- 1. Dieses Material wird Ihnen zur Beantwortung Ihrer Anfrage zur Verfügung gestellt. Es enthält möglicherweise Informationen zu Produkten oder Indikationen, die derzeit erforscht werden und noch keine Zulassung besitzen.
- 2. Dieses Material dient rein wissenschaftlichen Informationszwecken und ist nicht zur werblichen Verwendung vorgesehen.
- 3. Die Inhalte dieses Materials entsprechen zum Zeitpunkt ihrer Entstehung unserem besten Wissensstand. Alle Angaben zu Wettbewerbern gründen sich auf öffentlich zugängliche Quellen. Richtigkeit und Zusammenhang zum Zeitpunkt der Nutzung unterliegen der Verantwortung des Nutzers.
- 4. Änderungen, die am Inhalt dieses Materials vorgenommen werden, liegen nicht in der Verantwortung von Novartis. Richtigkeit und Zusammenhang bei einer Verwendung zu einem späteren Zeitpunkt unterliegen der Verantwortung jener Person, die das Material verwendet.
- 5. Stand 12/2020

PS10-35

Early intervention for and management of alpelisib (ALP)-induced hyperglycemia: case studies from the Phase III SOLAR-1 trial

Ingrid Mayer,¹ Azeez Farooki,² Hope S. Rugo,³ Hiroji Iwata,⁴ Eva Ciruelos,⁵ Mario Campone,⁶ Sibylle Loibl,⁷ Pierfranco Conte,⁸ Dejan Juric,⁹ Farhat Ghaznawi,¹⁰ Ines Lorenzo,¹¹ Huilin Hu,¹⁰ Fiorenza Gaudenzi,¹² Fabrice Andre¹³

¹Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN; ²Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY; ³UCSF Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, San Francisco, CA; ⁴Aichi Cancer Center Hospital, Nagoya, Japan; ⁵Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain; ⁶Institut de Cancérologie de l'Ouest, Saint-Herblain, France; ⁷German Breast Group, Neu-Isenburg, Germany; Center for Hematology and Oncology Bethanien, Frankfurt, Germany; ⁸University of Padua and Medical Oncology 2, Istituto Oncologico Veneto, IRCCS, Padua, Italy; ⁹Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center, Boston, MA; ¹⁰Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, NJ; ¹¹Novartis Pharma S.A.S., Paris, France; ¹²Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland; ¹³Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France

San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium Virtual Meeting 2020 December 8-11, 2020

Disclaimer

- This material was reviewed by the Global Medical Review team and is recommended for external presentation in response to an unsolicited medical request subject to local approval
- This scientific information may include data/information on investigational uses of compounds/drugs that have not yet been approved by regulatory authorities
- Each CPO is responsible for ensuring that this material is used in accordance with local laws, regulations, industry, and Novartis codes and standards

Introduction

- In the Phase III SOLAR-1 trial (NCT02437318), ALP (PI3Kα inhibitor) plus fulvestrant (FUL) significantly improved progression-free survival (PFS) vs FUL alone in patients with hormone receptor–positive (HR+)/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2–negative (HER2–) advanced breast cancer (ABC) and *PIK3CA* mutations (11.0 vs 5.7 months; hazard ratio, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.50-0.85; *P* < .001)¹
- Hyperglycemia was identified as an on-target adverse event (AE) with ALP and was the most frequent grade (G) 3/4 AE in SOLAR-1 (G3: 32.7%; G4: 3.9%)^{1,2}
- A protocol amendment was implemented during the study to provide additional detailed guidance on hyperglycemia and rash management²
- Baseline characteristics such as prediabetic or diabetic glycemic status, body mass index (BMI) ≥ 30, and age ≥ 75 years have been identified as risk factors for ALP-induced hyperglycemia

ALP, alpelisib; CI, confidence interval; PI3Kα, phosphoinositide 3-kinase alpha; P, P value; *PIK3CA*, phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha; vs, versus.

Objective

 To understand incidence and management of hyperglycemia and highlight four case studies of early intervention and different management approaches for ALP-induced hyperglycemia in the SOLAR-1 trial

ALP, alpelisib.

Methods (1 of 5) Patients and Study Details

 Men or postmenopausal women with HR+/HER2- ABC with disease recurrence or progression on or after receiving an aromatase inhibitor-based therapy were randomized to receive ALP plus FUL or placebo (PBO) plus FUL in two separate cohorts according to the *PIK3CA* mutational status of their tumors (Figure 1)

ABC, advanced breast cancer; ALP, alpelisib; FUL, fulvestrant; HR+, hormone receptor positive; HER2–, human epidermal growth receptor 2–negative; *PIK3CA*, phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha.

Methods (2 of 5)

Figure 1. Study Design



^a Randomized 1:1 within each cohort. ^b FUL given on day 1 of each 28-day cycle, with an additional administration on day 15 of cycle 1.

AI, aromatase inhibitor; ALP, alpelisib; CBR, clinical benefit rate; CDK, cyclin-dependent kinase; ctDNA, circulating tumor DNA; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; FUL, fulvestrant; ORR, overall response rate; OS, overall survival; PBO, placebo; *PIK3CA*, phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha; PFS, progression-free survival; QD, once daily; R, randomization.

Methods (3 of 5)

Monitoring of Glycemic Status

- According to the protocol, glycemic status was assessed at baseline and over time using fasting plasma glucose and glycated hemoglobin
- Hyperglycemia was regularly assessed as per the National Cancer Institute CTCAE, v4.03
- In addition to concomitant medications for hyperglycemia, dose interruptions or reductions by one level were recommended for both G3 and G4 hyperglycemia, per protocol (Table 1)

CTCAE, common terminology criteria for adverse event; G, grade.

Methods (4 of 5)

Table 1. ALP/PBO Dose Modifications and Interventions in SOLAR-1

Grade 1	 Maintain dose level and remind patient of lifestyle changes (dietary advice and exercise): If FPG < 140 mg/dL, consider adding metformin If FPG 140-160 mg/dL, start/intensify metformin
Grade 2	 Maintain dose level and remind patient of lifestyle changes: If FPG is still increasing on maximum tolerated dose of metformin or is persistently >160 mg/dL, add an insulin sensitizer (eg, pioglitazone 30 mg [maximum dose]) If FPG not G ≤ 1 within 21 days, reduce ALP/PBO by 1 dose level
Grade 3	 Omit ALP/PBO and confirm fasting status of the assessment: Follow G2 recommendations for metformin and pioglitazone Insulin may be used for 1-2 days until hyperglycemia resolves If FPG G ≤ 1 within 3-5 days while off study treatment and on metformin, restart ALP/PBO and reduce by 1 dose level; continue antihyperglycemics If no resolution within 3-5 days, consult a diabetologist If no resolution within 21 days, permanently discontinue ALP/PBO
Grade 4	 Omit ALP/PBO and confirm fasting status of the assessment: Consider cooperation with diabetologist, initiate or intensify medication with appropriate antihyperglycemic treatment (see G3), recheck within 24 hours If grade improves, follow specific grade recommendations If FPG confirmed at G4 and confounding factors not excluded, permanently discontinue ALP/PBO

ALP, alpelisib; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; G, grade; PBO, placebo.

Methods (5 of 5) Case Selection

Cases were selected on the basis of hyperglycemia events of interest to the community: (1) not well controlled on metformin alone; (2) required hospitalization; (3) no risk factors for hyperglycemia at baseline; (4) no action taken at initial presentation of hyperglycemia

Results (1 of 7)

ALP-Induced Hyperglycemia, Interventions, and Outcomes in SOLAR-1 Table 2. ALP-Induced Hyperglycemia in SOLAR-1

 At the data cutoff of June 12, 2018, the incidence of all-G and G3/4 hyperglycemia in SOLAR-1 was 66% and 38%, respectively (Table 2)

	(n = 284)
ncidence of all-grade hyperglycemia, n (%)	187 (66)
ncidence of grade 3/4 hyperglycemia, n (%)	108 (38)
Time to onset of grade 3/4 hyperglycemia, median (range), days ^{a,b}	15 (5-395)
Time to improvement of grade 3/4 hyperglycemia, median (range), days ^{a,b}	6 (4-7)
Risk factors for hyperglycemia, n (%) ^c Prediabetic or diabetic glycemic status BMI ≥ 30 Age ≥ 75 years	171 (60) 74 (26) 34 (12)
Received antihyperglycemic medication, n (%) ^d	163 (87)
Most common antihyperglycemic medications, n (%) ^e Metformin Insulin	142 (87) 52 (32)

^a Based on FPG values. ^b Observed in 110 patients. ^c Patients could have more than one risk factor. ^d Denominator is based on the 187 patients who developed hyperglycemia. ^e Denominator is based on the 163 patients who received antihyperglycemic medications.

ALP, alpelisib; BMI, body-mass index; FUL, fulvestrant; G, grade.

Results (2 of 7)

- The median time to onset of grade 3/4 hyperglycemia was 15 days and median time to improvement of grade 3/4 hyperglycemia was 6 days
- Metformin was the most common antihyperglycemic medication used (87%)
- Only one antihyperglycemic medication was required in 36% of patients who developed hyperglycemia, two were required in 26% of patients, and three or more in 25% of patients
- Comparing the time to first hyperglycemia event with the time to first antihyperglycemic medication used, many patients experienced early intervention, where the time to receiving concomitant medications after initial hyperglycemia was short. Those farther from the 45-degree line indicate a delay antihyperglycemic medications after initial presentation (Figure 2)

ALP, alpelisib.

^{13 2020} SABCS SOLAR-1 Hyperglycemia Case Studies | Dec 2020 | For presentation in response to an unsolicited request for medical information subject to local approval

Results (3 of 7)

Figure 2. Time to First Hyperglycemia Event and First Antihyperglycemic in Patients Who Developed Hyperglycemia



AE, adverse events.

Results (4 of 7)

- Early intervention was defined as follows: grade 1: starting medication within 4 days of onset of hyperglycemia (n = 12); grade 2: within 2 days (n = 10); grade 3/4: within 1 day (n = 29)
- Late intervention was defined as follows: grade 1: starting medication after 4 weeks of onset of hyperglycemia (n = 30); grade 2: after 3 weeks (n = 14); grade 3/4: after 4 days (n = 25)
 - For patients presenting with grade 1 or 2 hyperglycemia, delay in intervention resulted in a higher chance of hyperglycemia not improving or becoming more severe (Figure 3)

ALP, alpelisib.

Results (5 of 7)

Figure 3. Subsequent Grade by Early or Late Intervention of ALP-Induced Hyperglycemia in SOLAR-1



ALP, alpelisib; G, grade.

Results (6 of 7)

 Case studies presenting different clinically relevant scenarios of early intervention (data cutoff, September 30, 2019) are represented by patients 1 through 3 (Figure 4A-C), and an example of late intervention is represented by patient 4 (Figure 4D)

ALP, alpelisib.

Results (7 of 7) Figure 4. Case Studies of Four Patients in the SOLAR-1 Trial



AE, adverse event; BL, baseline; BMI, body-mass index; DPP-4i. dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor; H, hospitalization; PD; progressive disease; SGLT2i, sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor.

Conclusions

- Hyperglycemia was the most common grade 3/4 adverse event in the SOLAR-1 trial, and recommended antihyperglycemic treatments were outlined in the protocol
- The majority of patients required more than one antihyperglycemic medication to manage hyperglycemia
- Patients presenting with grade 1 and 2 hyperglycemia who had delayed intervention with antihyperglycemic medication were more likely to not improve or have a worsening grade than those who had early intervention
 - These cases should be interpreted with caution due to the limited number and type of patients who were included as examples
- These data and cases from SOLAR-1 confirm that ALP-induced hyperglycemia is manageable with identification of baseline risk factors, close monitoring, early detection and intervention, including concomitant medications and dose modifications where appropriate

ALP, alpelisib.

^{19 2020} SABCS SOLAR-1 Hyperglycemia Case Studies | Dec 2020 | For presentation in response to an unsolicited request for medical information subject to local approval

References

- 1. André F, et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;380(20):1929-1940.
- 2. Rugo HS, et al. Ann Oncol. 2020;31(8):1001-1010.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the patients enrolled in this study and their families as well as the study investigators. Medical editorial assistance was provided by MediTech Media, Ltd and was funded by Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation. All authors had final responsibility for the poster

Disclosures

AF: personal fees: Novartis; **HSR**: grant: Plexxikon, Macrogenics, OBI Pharma, Eisai, Pfizer, Novartis, Eli Lilly, GlaxoSmithKline, Genentech, Celsion, Merck; personal fees: Novartis, Roche/Genentech, OBI Pharma, Bayer, Pfizer; **EC**: personal fees: Novartis, Eli Lilly, Roche, Pfizer, AstraZeneca; **MC**: grant: Pfizer, AstraZeneca, Sanofi, Pierre Fabre, Takeda; personal fees: Novartis, Eli Lilly; **SL**: grant: Novartis, Celgene, Amgen, Roche, AstraZeneca, AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Daiichi Sankyo, Eirgenix; **PC**: personal fees: Roche, Novartis, AstraZeneca, Merck, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Tesaro; **DJ**: personal fees: Novartis, Genentech, Eisai, Ipsen, EMD Serono; **FG**, **IL**, **HH**, **FG**: employment and stock ownership from Novartis; **FA**: grant: Novartis, AstraZeneca, Pfizer, Eli Lilly, Roche

Presented at the San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium (SABCS) 2020 Virtual Meeting; December 8-11, 2020. Poster PS10-35.

This study was sponsored by Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation.

Scan the QR code for additional materials

Text: Qe1911 To: 8NOVA (86682) US only

+18324604729 North, Central, and South Americas; the Caribbean; China +447860024038 UK, Europe, and Russia +46737494608 Sweden and Europe

Visit the web at:

http://novartis.medicalcongressposters.com/Default.aspx?doc=e1911

Scan this QR code



Copies of this poster obtained through Quick Response (QR) code and/or text key codes are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without written permission of the authors.

Presenter e-mail address: ingrid.mayer@vumc.org

Standard data or message rates may apply.